

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/014,709	12/11/2001	Achim Franck	tesa AG 1511-WCG	7003
27386	7590 07/02/2004	•	EXAMINER	
	A GERSTENZANG	ZIRKER, DANIEL R		
NORRIS, MCLAUGHLIN & MARCUS, P.A. 220 EAST 42ND STREET, 30TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK, NY 10017			1771	
			DATE MAILED: 07/02/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/014,709	FRANCK ET AL.			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
·	Daniel Zirker	1771			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 16 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply to a nation in			
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	:				
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	·	see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	•				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment			
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed: <i>None</i> .					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: 1,3 and 5-10.		•			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) applied applied on is a)	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	•			
10. Other:	DANIEL ZIRK PRIMARY EXAN	ER Janil Zuku			
	GROUP 130	00			

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The addition of "coated" to the Markush type grouping of former claim 8 which has been incorporated into the independent claim is a "new issue", as is the recitation that such product-by-process limitations will also "increase" to a certain value the static frictional forces of the surface of the grip tab, this latter limitation also being expressly set forth in dependent claims 3 and 5. Additionally, it is also not clear whether or not the "coated" limitation which will "increase" the frictional characteristics to a certain value is also "new matter", as the Examiner has been unable to find express support for this limitation..